

The Daily Jab

SPECIAL EDITION

URGENT SAVE OUR CHILDREN CALL TO ACTION

MONDAY | NOV 1st 2021

Do We Really Need to Vaccinate Five-Year Olds For COVID-19?

Children are 107 times more likely to die from the vaccine, than from COVID

From Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

“In a few short days, the FDA will likely recommend Pfizer’s COVID-19 vaccine for children as young as 5 — even though according to CDC data, children are 107 times more likely to die from the vaccine, than from COVID.”

The CDC has already issued guidance for injecting young children with experimental mRNA vaccines. States have pre-ordered millions of vials of Pfizer’s vaccine for kids. The plans are in the works!

Last month, Dr. Anthony Fauci referred to children — beautiful, healthy children — as “vehicles of spread” for COVID. But as you know — and scientists and physicians around the world have confirmed — Fauci is lying.

The push to vaccinate children has nothing to do with their health ... and everything to do with adding billions of dollars to the bank accounts of ruthless, corrupt pharmaceutical companies.

I believe it’s only a matter of time before every child will have to be injected with an experimental mRNA vaccine — possibly multiple times a year — in order to attend school.

Unless we stop the madness. Now.

As more than 40 doctors told UK drug regulators earlier this year, “vaccinating kids for COVID is “irresponsible, unethical, and unnecessary.”

In a letter to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Children’s Health Defense highlighted peer-reviewed research showing children have virtually zero risk of hospitalization and death from COVID, and outlined the vaccine’s risks.

10/26/21 - BREAKING UPDATE

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s advisory panel voted to recommend the agency allow Pfizer to amend its Emergency Use Authorization for its COVID vaccine for children 5 through 11 years old, despite a host of objections from scientists and physicians.

>> *Continued on page 3,
“COVID Vaccine Is A Threat To Children”.*

NEW VAERS NUMBERS PUBLISHED

818,042	Adverse Events
127,641	Doctor Visits
83,412	Hospitalizations
92,017	Urgent Care
26,199	Disabled
10,179	Bell’s Palsy
10,304	Myocarditis
8,408	Heart Attacks
2,631	Miscarriages
17,128	Deaths

“100% Safe And Effective”

SOURCES

<https://openvaers.com/covid-data>
<https://vaersanalysis.info>

There Is No FDA Approved COVID Vaccine In The U.S.

Did you know that currently there is no FDA approved COVID vaccine available in the U.S.? Contrary to what the mainstream media may have led you to believe, *all* available COVID vaccines have Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) only, and are not covered by the *Vaccine Injury Compensation Fund*. In the event you are injured by the vaccine you will be on your own, and responsible for all of your medical costs.


Mainstream media articles dodge this issue by stating that almost nobody gets injured by vaccines in order to try to paint the lack of legal liability protection as irrelevant.

(1) <https://www.reuters.com/legal/government/black-hole-covid-vaccine-injury-claims-2021-06-29/>

HRSA states that VICF is not available for current COVID vaccine:

(2) <https://www.hrsa.gov/vaccine-compensation/faq>

“If you’ve suffered an injury related to the Pfizer, Moderna or Johnson & Johnson vaccines, you’re basically out of luck.”



Masks Ineffective And Have Dire Health Consequences

Wearing a mask can cause serious adverse health effects, including headaches, increased airway resistance, carbon dioxide accumulation, and hypoxia, especially the tight-fitting N95 respirator mask. In one study, one-third of health-care workers wearing the N95 respirator mask developed headaches and 60 percent required pain medications for relief. Wearing a mask can cause a reduction in blood oxygenation (hypoxia) or an elevation in blood CO₂ (hypercapnia). The N95 mask, if worn for hours, can reduce blood oxygenation as much as 20 percent, which can lead to loss of consciousness. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) warns that masks risk creating a low oxygen environment and are not effective in preventing disease.

Recently two boys in China fell dead wearing masks while running during physical education classes. Nevertheless, Los Angeles officials have decreed that Angelinos must wear a face covering while outdoors. The new law re-

quires face coverings for walking, running, cycling, scooting, roller skating, skateboarding, and all outdoor activities except for those on the water.

A recent review of the science relevant to Covid-19 social policy concluded that masks and respirators don't work. "No [randomized control trial] study with verified outcome shows a benefit for [health-care workers] or community members in households to wearing a mask or respirator. There is no such study. There are no exceptions. Likewise, no study exists that shows a benefit from a broad policy to wear masks in public. . . . Furthermore, if there were any benefit to wearing a mask due to their blocking power against droplets and aerosol particles, then there should be more benefit from wearing a respirator (N95) compared with a surgical mask, yet several large meta-analyses, and all the RCT [randomized control trials], prove that there is no such relative benefit."

– Excerpt from "The Contagion Myth" by Thomas S. Cowan

Did you know...

– It is ILLEGAL for you to require someone to wear a mask?

– Masks, Covid Tests, and Vaccines are not fully approved by the FDA. **21 US Code, 360bbb-3** states no emergency authorized medical product can be forced as individuals have "the option to accept or refuse administration of the product." (e 1 A ii III). This includes masks, PCR tests, and vaccines. *Even if fully approved, you can still refuse.*

– The ADA prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability. (e.g., impossibility of wearing a mask due to health conditions).

– The Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex or national origin (e.g., impossibility of accepting a treatment or product due to conflict with one's sincerely held religious, moral or ethical beliefs).

Title III: Public Accommodations

"Public accommodations¹ must comply with basic nondiscrimination requirements that prohibit exclusion, segregation, and unequal treatment."

The CDC states that a person who has trouble breathing, is unconscious, incapacitated, or otherwise unable to remove the face mask without assistance should not wear a face mask or cloth face covering.²

[1] Public accommodations include restaurants, hotels, theaters, doctors' offices, pharmacies, retail stores, museums, libraries, amusement parks, private schools, and day care centers.

[2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). (2020, May 22).

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/about-face-coverings.html>



The CDC Is Not A Government Agency, it is a Vaccine Company.

TheCovidBlog.com

ATLANTA — The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") is an advertising agency for pharmaceutical companies. That may come off as some grandiose pronouncement meant to gather clicks and attention. But the agency does what it is supposed to do – promote and advance the interests of its stakeholders.

There is nothing impartial, objective or neutral about the CDC and its sister agency, the National Institutes of Health ("NIH"). Doctors and scientists who have dedicated their entire existences to medicine and saving lives are

being decertified, censored and discredited by the CDC for presenting peer-reviewed evidence that is contrary to the COVID-19 agenda. Media that do not tow the doomsday COVID narrative are also censored. Search "The COVID Blog" and "COVID Legal USA" without quotes in any search engine besides Google. We come up first or second. Now do Google. The censorship is blatant and obvious.

COVID Legal USA is working towards getting the COVID-19 propaganda machine in federal court. The only way to break through this well-coordinated propaganda machine is with rules of evidence and rules of pro-

cedures. The CDC is the propaganda arm of this entire agenda. COVID Legal USA decided to attempt litigating these matters: mandatory injections, faulty COVID-19 testing and statistics, non-emergency authorizations, etc. in a court of law. It's a tall task. But we already have several causes of action to bring colorable claims against the CDC.

We'll be working on it for several months before action is commenced. We also understand that facts are now "conspiracy theory" to mainstream media, social media and the CDC. Regardless, here is what the public needs to know right now.

HISTORY OF THE CDC

The Communicable Disease Center was established as a branch of the U.S. Public Health Service on



July 1, 1946 in DeKalb County, Georgia. It took over the offices of the wartime U.S. agency called

Malaria Control in War Areas (MCWA). The Rockefeller Foundation worked in close collaboration with MCWA, similar to how the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is today with the CDC, National Institutes of Health and Dr. Anthony Fauci.

COVID Vaccine Is A Threat To Children

(continued from page 1)

Each member of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's (FDA) Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC) received on 10/25/21 a letter from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and Dr. Meryl Nass, on behalf of Children's Health Defense (CHD).

The letter highlights the latest peer-reviewed research showing children have virtually zero risk of hospitalization and death from the COVID-19 virus. Furthermore, it outlines the serious known risks of immediate harm and the unknown long-term risks from the vaccines to children.

The FDA's VRBPAC committee meets Tuesday, Oct. 26, to vote to authorize Pfizer's COVID vaccine for children aged 5 to 11. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) will meet Nov. 2-3 to consider recommending the same Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) vaccine.

If both expert committees, the FDA and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, endorse the EUA vaccine, as appears highly likely, then millions of young children in the U.S. and around the world immediately will begin to receive them.

It is critically important we send this letter to all members of the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate so they are aware of the threat COVID vaccines pose to the health of our nation's young children.

Fill out the form (link 3) to contact President Biden, your two U.S. Senators, your member of the House of Representatives and your state legislators.

Article sources and links are in the box below.

(1) <https://data.cdc.gov/NCHS/Provisional-COVID-19-Deaths-Focus-on-Ages-0-18-Yea/nr4s-juj3>

(2) <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221475002100161X>

(3) <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/child-health-topics/take-action/action-alert-send-rfk-jr-s-letter-to-your-elected-officials-now-regarding-potential-fda-authorization-of-covid-vaccines-for-five-to-eleven-year-old-children/>

1



2



3



Children's Health Defense To Sue FDA

If Agency Authorizes Pfizer Vaccine for Children 5 to 11 Years Old

Children's Health Defense (CHD) today said it will take legal action against the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) if the agency grants Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) for the Pfizer-BioNTech SARS-CoV-2 vaccine for children aged 5-11.

In a letter signed by Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., CHD chairman and chief legal counsel, and Dr. Meryl Nass, member of the CHD Scientific Advisory Committee, Kennedy and Nass wrote:

"CHD will seek to hold you accountable for recklessly endangering this population with a product that has little efficacy but which may put them, without warning, at risk of many adverse health consequences, including heart damage, stroke, and other thrombotic events and reproductive harms."

The letter was addressed to Dr. Arnold Monto, chairman of the FDA's Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee (VRBPAC), committee members and all FDA staff.

VRBPAC members are set to meet Tuesday to consider and likely vote on whether to grant EUA for the Pfizer

vaccine for 5- to 11-year olds.

In May, the FDA authorized Pfizer's vaccine for 12- to 15-year-olds. Moderna and Johnson & Johnson vaccines have not yet been authorized for children under 18.

The letter outlines 12 reasons the FDA should not authorize the pediatric vaccine and provides supporting evidence to back up each argument.

See the full letter (*type in this URL or scan the QR Code*)

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/chd-legal-action-fda-eua-pfizer-biontech-vaccine-children/>




Are you being pressured to receive a COVID-19 vaccine? Know your rights.

These vaccines do not have full FDA approval, and are authorized for Emergency Use only. Federal statute FDA 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3, which covers Emergency Use Authorization for medical products, requires that individuals who receive a medical product approved for emergency use must first be informed "of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product...and of the alternatives to the product that are available."^{1,2}

¹ <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/USCODE-2011-title21/USCODE-2011-title21-chap9-subchapV-partE-sec360bbb-3/summary>

² <https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/vaccines/emergency-use-authorization-vaccines-explained>

[AskHealthyQuestions.com/topics](https://www.healthyquestions.com/topics)



No Symptoms? No Spread!
Asymptomatic transmission has never been proven!

Vaccine Mandates Violate Medical Ethics, Prevent Informed Consent

AskHealthyQuestions.com

It is unethical to require a person to receive COVID-19 vaccination as a condition of employment, education, housing, or access to any other services offered to the general public.

The clinical trials for COVID-19 vaccines are ongoing, which means that these vaccines are still considered to be experimental. This is true for all COVID-19 vaccines being used in the USA, including the recently “approved” Pfizer BioNTech vaccine (now known as Comirnaty).

The Belmont Report, which is the Federal government’s guidance document for “Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research,” specifically mentions that coercion is a violation of Informed Consent. Children and employees are considered to be “vulnerable” and therefore require additional safeguards for Informed Consent.

Vaccine passports are discrimination and surveillance!

AskHealthyQuestions.com

We all have the basic human right to choose what we want for our own bodies.

Vaccine passports violate this right and turn people who legally refuse vaccination into second-class citizens. Vaccine passports violate the medical privacy ensured by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the right to assemble peacefully (1st Amendment), and the right to be secure from unreasonable search and seizure (4th Amendment).


The World Health Organization, tech industry and the U.S. government are compromising medical codes of ethics with these passports.

More info & Sources:

AskHealthyQuestions.com/vaccine-passports

How to use the QR codes

Anywhere you see a QR code in this document, you can use your phone’s camera to scan the code and open the web page for the sources and further reading.



Ivermectin & HCQ Are Highly Effective

Ivermectin is highly effective in treating COVID-19 in early stages and as prophylaxis. HCQ also safe and effective.

There are currently at least 104 studies, with 66 being peer-reviewed (as of August 7, 2021), showing that Ivermectin is highly effective in treating COVID-19 in early stages and as prophylaxis (preventative measure). According to the *Barcelona Institute of Global Health*:

[Ivermectin] is used to treat millions of people at risk of contracting devastating diseases, such as onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis, and also plays an important role in the control of intestinal helminth infections. Because of its excellent safety profile and broad spectrum of activity, Ivermectin is catalogued by the World Health Organisation as an essential medicine and is regarded by many as a “magic bullet” for global health.

The article concludes, “The global community must act to ensure that essential medicines are affordable, accessible and available to those who need them, irrespective of what disease they may have or where they were born.”

Nebraska AG highlights science on Ivermectin

On Oct. 15, Nebraska Attorney General (AG) Doug Peterson issued a legal opinion that Nebraska healthcare providers can legally prescribe off-label medications like ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine for the treatment of COVID, so long as they obtain informed consent from the patient.

In his legal opinion, Peterson concluded evidence showed ivermectin demonstrated striking effectiveness in preventing and treating COVID, and any side effects were primarily minor and transient. “Thus, the UCA does not preclude physicians from considering ivermectin for the prevention or treatment of COVID,” Peterson wrote.

In the decade leading up to the COVID pandemic, Peterson found numerous studies showing ivermectin’s antiviral activity against several RNA viruses by blocking the nuclear trafficking of viral proteins, adding to 50 years of research confirming ivermectin’s antiviral effects.

Peterson cited several studies showing ivermectin led to improvement of COVID

outcomes when used in early treatment or as a prophylaxis, while noting many studies with negative findings about ivermectin “excluded most available evidence,” cherry picked data within studies, misreported data, made unsupported assertions of adverse reactions to ivermectin and had “conclusions that did follow from evidence.”



Hydroxychloroquine A Safe, Generic Drug

America’s Frontline Doctors

Hydroxychloroquine is a safe, generic drug that has been FDA approved for 65 years, given to pregnant women, breastfeeding women, children, the elderly and the immune-compromised for years and decades without complication. All of the myths and misconceptions about HCQ will finally be put in the trash heap where they belong. AFD provides proof that you have been massively lied to, often very intentionally.

The physicians who prescribe HCQ the most are rheumatologists. Patients who need HCQ typically are on the medication for years or decades. Therefore rheumatologists have extensive experience with this medication. They make decisions daily regarding this medication. They decide who can get the medication, is safe or unsafe, how much to give, how often to dose, when to increase/decrease the dose, what testing if any should be done prior to starting the medication, can the medicine be taken with other medicines, when to stop the medication, what the side effects are. To help them with such decisions, rheumatologists can check with their professional society: American College of Rheumatology (ACR.)



It’s healthy to ask questions about COVID-19 treatments, including vaccines. –National Coalition for Health Integrity